

**Remarks / Arguments**

Claims 1- 7 are pending. Claims 3, 5 and 6 have been amended to return these claims into their original, as-granted form. As a result, claims 2 – 7 remain unchanged relative to claims 2 – 7 of the reissue patent. Claims 8 – 24 are to be cancelled.

**1. Reissue Declaration**

Patentee submits a Reissue Application Declaration to address possible defects in the previously submitted declarations.

**2. Rejection under 35 USC 251**

Claims 8-21 were rejected under 35 USC 251 as being broadened in a reissue application filed outside the two year statutory period. Applicant has canceled claims 8 – 21 in order to expedite issuance of the reissue grant. It is submitted that one or more of claims 8 – 21 may not be broader than claims 1 – 7 of the patent. Rather than respond in detail to this rejection, applicant seeks to expedite issuance by cancelling these claims in view of possible infringers of claims 1 – 7.


**3. Request for Reconsideration and Allowance**

Based upon the above Amendments and Remarks, claims 1-7 are believed to be in proper form for allowance, and patentable over the prior art made of record. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of those claims and a prompt Notice of Allowance thereon.

Please direct any questions or comments regarding this application to John F. Klos at (612) 321-2806.

Dated this August 8, 2006

Respectfully submitted,  
STEVEN R. BENSON, BY HIS ATTORNEYS

  
John F. Klos, Reg. No. 37,162  
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P.  
2100 IDS Center  
80 South Eighth Street  
Minneapolis, MN 55402-2112